

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The foregoing amendment and the following arguments are provided to impart precision to the claims, by more particularly pointing out the invention, rather than to avoid prior art.

35 U.S.C. § 103(a) Rejections

Examiner rejected claims 1, 3-6, 11, 21 and 24-25 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,285,911 (hereinafter "Watts") in view of U.S. Patent 5,933,609 (hereinafter "Walker").

To establish *prima facie* obviousness of a claimed invention, all the claim limitations must be taught or suggested by the prior art. *In re Royka*, 490 F.2d 981, 180 USPQ 580 (CCPA 1974). (Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP) ¶ 2143.03).

The applicant's independent claims 1 and 21 include limitations not disclosed nor suggested by Watts or Walker. Therefore, Applicants' claims are patentable over Watts in view of Walker.

In particular, Applicants claims include the limitations of, or limitations similar thereto, of a detachable electronic display screen including a memory unit to store in a low power mode screen pad system configuration data to decrease time needed to transition to high power mode. Neither Watts nor Walker disclose the claimed limitation of a detachable electronic display screen having a memory unit to store in low power mode screen pad system configuration data to decrease time needed to transition to high power mode.

Rather, Walker is limited to disclosing: "in step 409, the PCI sequencer requests PCI clock control block to stop the PCI clock and release the clock run requests. In response, the PCI clock logic stops the PCI clock and prevents the clock from starting. Once the PCI clock has stopped, all PCI signals controlled by the mobile peripheral control are tri-dated, step 410. *See* Walker U.S. Patent 5,933,609, Column 7, lines 60-65.

Therefore, Applicant's independent claims include limitations that are not disclosed nor suggested by Watts in view of Walker. As a result, Applicant's independent claims are patentable over Watts in view of Walker.

Applicant's remaining claims depend on at least one of Applicant's independent claims as discussed above. Therefore, Applicant's remaining dependent claims also include the distinguished claims limitations of Applicant's independent claims. As a result, Applicants' dependent claims are patentable over Watts in view of Walker.

CONCLUSION

Applicant respectfully submits the present application is in condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite or assist in the allowance of the present application, the Examiner is invited to call John Ward at (408) 720-8300, x237.

Authorization is hereby given to charge our Deposit Account No. 02-2666 for any charges that may be due.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN

Date: 4/23/04



Arlen M. Hartounian  
Reg. No. 52,997

12400 Wilshire Boulevard  
Seventh Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026  
(408) 720-8300